

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1459

SPL/JK/MLB

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,669	11/28/2005	Matan Arazi	06727/1200442-US1	4972
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 06/23/2008 PRV P.C		EXAM	INER
P.O. BOX 770			VIZVARY, GERALD C	
Church Street S New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
7.00. 7.01.1,77.1	10000 0110		3696	
			<u></u>	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03) Intervie	w Summary	Paper No. 20080617				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	/Gerald C Vizvary/ Examiner, Art Unit 3696 Examiner's signature, if requ	iired				
used references in the final rejection and the 102/e) reference. The Primary Examiner suggested 1 independent system claim and 1 independent method claim having the same scope. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Progosed claim language was reviewed.</u> Attomey was given a list of cited, but not						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Claim(s) discussed: 1, 27 & 28.						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Date of Interview: 17 June 2008. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representativ	re]				
(2) <u>Stanford Colb</u> .	(4)					
(1) GERALD C. VIZVARY.	(3)Ella Colbert.					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
	GERALD C. VIZVARY	3696				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	10/510.669	ARAZI ET AL.				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				